A Study Of Emotional Intelligence among Students Of Higher Secondary Classes

Prof. K.S.Misra*

Daniel Goleman (1995) defined emotional intelligence as including 'abilities such as being able to motivate oneself and persist in the face of frustrations; to control impulses and delay gratification; to empathize and to hope. In his book "Working with Emotional Intelligence" (1998), he defined this term as 'the capacity for recognizing our own feelings and those of others, for motivating ourselves, and for managing emotions well in ourselves and in our relationships. Emotional intelligence is the ability to perceive emotions, to access and generate emotions so as to assist thought, to understand emotions and emotional knowledge, and to reflectively regulate emotions so as to promote emotional and intellectual growth (Mayer & Salovey, 1997). EI is the ability to process emotional information, particularly as it involves the perception, assimilation, understanding and management of emotion (Mayer, Salovey & Caruso, 2000). Stein and Book (2000) remarked that emotional intelligence is a set of skills that enables us to make our way in complex world -the personal, social, and survival aspects of overall intelligence, the elusive common sense and sensitivity that are essential to effective daily functioning. In everyday language emotional intelligence is what we commonly refer to as "street smarts", or that uncommon ability we label "common sense". It has to do with the ability to read the political and social environment, and landscape them; to intuitively grasp what others want and need, what their strengths and weaknesses are; to remain unruffled by stress; and to be engaging, the kind of person that others want to be around." Bar-On (1997) called it "an array of noncognitive capabilities, competencies and skills that influence one's ability to succeed in coping with environmental demands and pressures." Kravitz and Schubert (2004) are of the view that 'emotional intelligence is the ability to understand and express your emotions to meet the requirements of day - to - day living, learning, and relating to others.' They concluded that there are five emotional intelligence skills: self awareness skills, social skills, optimism, emotional control, and flexibility skills.

Emotionally intelligent people are aware of how they feel, what motivates and demotivates them and how they affect others; communicate and relate well with others; listen attentively and adapt their communications to others' unique needs; have a positive and optimistic outlook on life and a mental attitude that energizes them to work steadily towards goals despite setbacks; handle stress

^{*}Department of Education, University of Allahabad, Allahabad.

calmly and use problem solving to develop options and adapt to changes. Academic calmly and use problem solving to do to a chievement and student behaviour improve in schools with good social and achievement and student behaviour offerings and examination patterns. achievement and student behavious and examination patterns of emotional learning programs. Curricular offerings and examination patterns of emotional learning programs. Call courses, nature of subject background, grade schools following CBSE and ICSE courses, nature of subject background, grade schools following CBSE and ICCE development of emotional intelligence among level and gender can influence the development of emotional intelligence among level and gender can influence the study has been undertaken with students of higher secondary classes. The present study has been undertaken with students of night secondary states of board, gender, subject background and the main purpose of studying the effect of board, gender, subject background and the main purpose of studying and grade level on emotional intelligence among students. Objectives Of The Study: and the study of th

The objectives of the study are as follows:

1. To compare emotional intelligence among students of CBSE and ICSE Boards.

2. To compare emotional intelligence among students of eleventh and twelfth

grade. The property and or sulfite out state of state of several sever

3. To compare emotional intelligence among male and female students.

To compare emotional intelligence among students with different subject backgrounds, no som allise to me a at accessillorer lanchior to and bediener

5. To study the effect of interaction between board and grade on emotional intelligence among students.

6. To study the effect of interaction between board and gender on emotional intelligence among students. The first transaction of the first first transaction of the first f

7. To study the effect of interaction between board and subject background on emotional intelligence among students.

8. To study the effect of interaction between gender and grade on emotional intelligence among students. The state of of many applies to the morning

9. To study the effect of interaction between subject background and grade on

emotional intelligence among students.

10. To study the effect of interaction between gender and subject background on emotional intelligence among students.

11. To study the effect of interaction among board, gender and subject

background on emotional intelligence among students.

12. To study the effect of interaction among board, gender and grade level on emotional intelligence among students.

13. To study the effect of interaction among board, subject background and

grade level on emotional intelligence among students.

14. To study the effect of interaction among gender, subject background and grade level on emotional intelligence among students.

15. To study the effect of interaction among board, gender, subject background

dependent to the property of Albertall.

and grade level on emotional intelligence among students.

Methodology:

The sample for the present study consisted of 239 students studying in the higher secondary schools of Allahabad city. It comprised of 138 students of CBSE and 101 students of ICSE board. There were 150 boys and 89 girls. 122 students were studying in class eleventh while 117 were studying in class twelfth.101 students belonged to commerce subject background while 138 students were of science background. The Test of Emotional Intelligence developed by K.S.Misra was used to measure overall emotional intelligence as well as four dimensions of it namely-emotional understanding—self, emotional understanding—others, emotional management-self and emotional management others.2x2x2x2 factorial design ANOVA was used to study main as well as interaction effects.

Results And Discussion:

Observation of table 1 shows that the F-ratios for the main effects of board, gender and subject background on overall emotional intelligence are significant. Mean values given in table 2 reveal that CBSE students are more emotionally intelligent than ICSE students. Mean score for girls is greater than that for boys. It means that girls are more emotionally intelligent than boys. Mean score for students of science subject background is greater than that for students of commerce background. It means that commerce students are less emotionally intelligent than science students. F-ratios for the main effect of grade level and interaction effects are not significant at .05 level.

Table1

Summary of the results of 2x2x2x2 ANOVA showing the effects of board, gender, subject background and grade level on overall emotional intelligence among students:

Source	Sum of squares	df	Mean Square	F-ratio
A: Board	383.127	1 - 1	183.127	
B: Gender	250.457	1-3	250.457	14.930**
C: Grade	70.226	1	70.226	9.760**
D:Subject background	181.971	1 . 9 . 19	181.971	2.737
AxB	28.667	1		7.091**
AxC	1.211	1	28.667	1.117
BxC	2.587	1	1.211	.047
AvDvC	31.614	1 2	2.587	.101
AXD		A. Tropi	31:614	1.232
BxD	.118	1 /	.118	.005
BxCxD	71.545	1	71.545	2.788
CxD	24.201	1	24.201	.936
	19.330	1	19.330	.753
AxCxD	17.650	1	17.650	.688
BxCxD	.210	1	.210	.008
AxBxCxD	4.387	1	4.387	.171
Error	5722.414	223	25 661	

Table 2

Mean scores on overall emotional intelligence among students belonging to Mean Group

different subgroups:

different subg	Toupe	Croun	Mean	Group	ivican
Group	Mean	Group	16.724	Science	18.883
CBSE	19.331	Boys	19.055	Commerce	16.896
ICSE	16.448	Girls	The state of the s	is makemin	Total implica-

Table 3

Summary of the results of 2x2x2x2 ANOVA showing the effects of board, gender, subject background and grade level on students' ability to understand others' emotions:

notions.	Sum of squares	df	Mean Square	F-ratio
Source	14 (1 m) 1 m	SECTION.	51.095	14.345**
A: Board	51.095	The state of the s	2.678	.752
B: Gender	2.678	1 1	13.203	3.707
C: Grade	13.203	1	12.542	3.521
D:Subject background	12.542	O Claude	8.562	2.404
AxB	8.562	1. 1		.071
AxC	.251	1	.251	.064
BxC	.227	1	.227	.104
AxBxC	.371	, l	.371	1.345
AxD	4.790		4.790	3.831
BxD	13.646	1	13.646	
BxCxD	3.213	1	3.213	.902
CxD	.710	ngi di san	.710	.199
AxCxD	.007	1	.007	.002
BxCxD	4.427	1 30%	4.427	1.243
AxBxCxD	4.303	els as	4.303	1.208
Error	794.308	223	3.562	Case Tale

Table 4

Mean scores on ability to understand others' emotions among students belonging to different boards:

Group	Mean	Group	Mean
CBSE	5.258	ICSE	4.205

Table 3 shows that the F-ratio for the main effect of board on students' ability to understand others' emotions is significant at .01 level. Mean score on CBSE students' ability to understand others' emotions is greater than that for ICSE students. F-ratio for the main effect of gender, subject background and grade level on students' ability to understand others' emotions are not significant at .05 level. It indicates that on ability to understand others' emotions boys are not superior to girls, twelfth grade students are not superior to eleventh grade students and science students are not superior to commerce students. F-ratios for all interaction effects are not significant at .05 level.

Table 5

Summary of the results of 2x2x2x2 ANOVA showing the effects of board, gender, subject background and grade level on students' ability to understand self emotions:

Source	Sum of squares	df	Mean Square	F-ratio
A: Board	4.048	1	4.048	3.838
B: Gender	4.270	-1 -	4.270	4.048*
C: Grade	.005	1	.005	.004
D:Subject background	.088	1	.088	.084
AxB	.000	1	.000	.000
AxC	.933	1	.933	.884
BxC	3.196	1	3.196	3.029
AxBxC ·	2.030	1	2.030	1.924
AxD	.360	1	.360	.342
BxD	.925	1	.925	.876
BxCxD	1.632	1	1.632	1.547
CxD	2.620		2.620	2.483
AxCxD	.045	1 2	.045	.043
BxCxD	.583	-1 3	.583	.553
AxBxCxD	.298	1	.298	.283
Error	235.240	223	1.055	-

Table 6

Mean scores on ability to understand self emotions among boys and girls:

Group	Mean	Group	Mean
boys	1.400	girls 10 64	1.704

Table 5 shows that F-ratio for the main effect of gender on students' ability to understand their own emotions is significant at .05 level. Mean score for emotional understanding (self) for girls is greater than that for boys. It means that girls excel

boys in their ability to understand self emotions. F-ratios for the main effects of board, subject background and grade level on students' ability to understand their own emotions are not significant at .05 level. It indicates that on ability to understand one's own emotions' CBSE students are not different from ICSE students, twelfth grade students are not superior to eleventh grade students and science students are not superior to commerce students. F-ratios for all interaction effects are not significant at .05 level.

Table 7

Summary of the results of 2x2x2x2 ANOVA showing the effects of board, gender, subject background and grade level on students' ability to manage one's own emotions:

emotions :	Sum of squares	df	Mean Square	F-ratio
Source			38.243	6.000*
A: Board	38.243			0.227**
B: Gender	52.441	1 -	52.441	8.227**
C: Grade	5.166	1	5.166	.810
D:Subject background	52.454	1	52.454	8.229**
AxB	9.067	1	9.067	1.422
AxC	.469	I comment	.469	.074
BxC	.698	1	.698	.109
AxBxC	22.494	1	22.494	3.529
AxD	9.834	1	9.834	1.543
BxD	27.330	1	27.330	4.288*
BxCxD	.109	1	.109	.017
CxD	.192	1	.192	.030
AxCxD	11.655	<u>.</u> 1	11.655	1.828
BxCxD	.200	1, 1	.200	.003
AxBxCxD	.316	1 - 1 -	.316	.050
Error	1421.435	223	6.374	

A look at table 7 shows that F-ratios for the main effects of board, gender and subject background are significant while F-ratio for the main effect of grade level is

of cir to SE nd

1

not significant at .05 level. Perusal of the values of mean scores as given in table 8 shows that CBSE students are superior to ICSE students, girls are superior to boys, and science students are superior to commerce students on ability to manage one's own emotions. Students of twelfth grade are not different from those of eleventh grade on their ability to manage emotions of themselves. F-ratio for the interaction effect of gender and subject background is significant at .05 level. Science girls excel commerce girls in their ability to manage emotions of themselves. All the remaining interaction effects are not significant at .05 level.

Table 8

Mean scores on ability to manage one's own emotions among students belonging to different subgroups:

Group	Mean	Group -	Mean
CBSE	8.695	Commerce	7.706
ICSE	7.784	Boys Science	7.854
Boys	7.706	Boys Commerce	7.557
Girls	8.773	Girls Science	9.691
Science	8.773	Girls Commerce	7.854

Table 9 shows that F-ratios for the main effects of board and gender are significant while F-ratio for the main effects of grade level and subject backgrounds are not significant at .05 level. Perusal of mean scores given in table 10 shows that on ability to manage others' emotions CBSE students are superior to ICSE students and girls are superior to boys. Students of twelfth grade are not different from those of eleventh grade on their ability to manage emotions of themselves. Commerce students also do not differ from science students. All interaction effects are not significant at .05 level.

120 for entropy on applies RRIL's not benefit and man his year one off applies to the standard on the standard for the standard for the standard standard with the standard standard and the standard standard of the standard standard of the standard standar

not significant at .05 level. Perus: e silve significant at .05 level. Perus: e silve significant at .05 level. Perus: e silve significant at .05 level. Perus: e significant at .05 level. Per sein ability to manage emotions of themselves to the fine fine. : sanoitoms

otions:	Sum of squares	dfixon	Mean Square	F-ratio of
Source	17.887	1 inton		6 1014
A: Board	23.828	1	23.828	8.647**
B: Gender	6.461	1	6.461	2.345
C: Grade	9.018	1	9.018	3.273
D:Subject background	.319	110000	.319	.116
AxB	1.745	1	1.745	.633
AxC	1.284	1	1.284	.466
BxC	2.874	1	2.874	1.043
AxBxC	1.451	1	1.451	.526
AxD	.248	1 13	.248	.090
BxD	2.252	1	2.252	.817
BxCxD	2.241	1	2.241	.813
CxD AxCxD	.438	1	.438	.159
BxCxD	.550	1	.550	.20:02
AxBxCxD	.000	1	.000	.000
Error	614.504	223	2.756	menda O ald

no near control deal or cover seven Table 10 legent Fereit (6) legent at (1). Mean scores on ability to manage others' emotions among students belonging to different subgroups:

Group	Mean	Group and mod	Mean of OD Other
CBSE	3.679	Boys	3.008
ICSE	3.056	Girls	3.727

To sum up, it can be inferred that CBSE student are superior to ICSE son overall emotional intelligence. students on overall emotional intelligence and three dimensions of it namely management of emotions of self-religence and three dimensions of self-religence. management of emotions of self and others and understanding of others, emotions.

This may be attributed to the emphasis being given by CBSE on the development and assessment of social-emotional competencies among students. Girls are superior to boys on overall emotional intelligence and three dimensions of it namely-management of emotions of self and others and understanding of emotions of self. This reflects superior emotional sensitivity of girls as reinforced by the Indian culture. Science students exhibit greater ability to manage self emotions. This may be due to the nature of competencies related to commerce and trade in which students are to be groomed. Perhaps learning of science provides more opportunities to regulate one's own emotions.

References:

- Bar-On, R. (1997). The Emotional Quotient Inventory (EQ-i): A test of emotional intelligence. Toronto: Multi-Health Systems.
- Goleman, D. (1995). Emotional Intelligence: Why it can matter more than IQ. New York: Bantam Books.
- Goleman, D. (1998). Working with Emotional Intelligence. New York: Bantam Books.
- Kravitz, S.M. & Schubert, S. D. (2004). Emotional Intelligence Works: Developing People Smart Strategies. New Delhi: Viva Books Pvt. Limited.
- Mayer, J.D., Salovey, P. & Caruso, D.R. (2000). Models of emotional intelligence. In R.J. Sternberg (Ed.) Handbook of Emotional Intelligence. New York: Cambridge, 396-420.
- Misra, K.S.(2007). Emotional Intelligence: Concept, Measurement and Research. Allahabad: Association for Educational Studies.
- Stein, S.J. & Book, H.E.(2001). The EQ Edge: Emotional Intelligence and Your Success. Delhi: Macmillan.

4d. In 1995 on the Propagation programming laders for the continuous recording to the continuous sections of the continuous secti

the case was the second of the second of the second

Sandulana, effects on checkers achievement indicate in a 1995 in